

Provider's name: Newham College of Further Education

Provider's UKPRN: 10004607

Academic Regulations

Academic Year 2022/2023

## **1 Introduction**

1. Newham College is not the Awarding Body and the qualifications that we offer are awarded by Pearson Education ("Pearson"), or City and Guilds. Newham College and its awarding bodies have a formal relationship which enables the College to deliver and assess their courses.
2. These regulations will be referenced to the Course Specification of each validated course of study, together with any specific requirements from professional, statutory, or regulatory bodies, as agreed in the validation process for the course.
3. In addition to these regulations each course of study will have a Course/Module/Unit Handbook containing procedures and requirements that are specific to that course and awarding body.

### **1.1 Scope**

4. The policy applies to all prescribed and non-prescribed HE courses at the College; it seeks to create an overall guidance to assessment practice with direct reference to awarding body guidance. It does not seek to replace or change the academic regulations of any awarding body.

### **1.2 External Reference points**

- a. [Framework for Higher Education Qualifications \(FHEQ\)](#)
- b. [Subject Benchmark Statements](#)
- c. [UK Quality Code for Higher Education – Assessment](#)
- d. [UK Quality Code for Higher Education – External Expertise](#)
- e. [UK Quality Code for Higher Education – Learning and Teaching](#)
- f. [UK Quality Code for Higher Education – Course Design and Development](#)
- g. [Office of the Independent Adjudicator](#)
- h. [UK higher education providers – advice on consumer protection law](#)

#### **1.2.1 Academic credit**

5. Higher National Diploma and Certificate awards use a UK credit-based system for its validated awards, these are defined by the FHEQ. This framework defines these awards primarily in terms of the qualification level and volume of credit required for each.
6. The main determinant for the volume of UK credit is notional learning time. This is defined as the reasonable measure of time it would take a student to achieve the learning outcomes of the activities. Total notional student learning time includes all activities required to achieve the learning outcomes of a course, including formal study, assessment, and independent learning.
7. Notional learning time for a 'standard' full-time academic year is 1200 hours at undergraduate qualification level. These are intended as benchmarks only, and the actual time students take to achieve the required outcomes may be shorter or longer depending on the knowledge and skills of students at entry and on their rate of progression through the course, and the impact of any reasonable adjustments made for students with specific needs.

8. Each unit/module of a course is assigned a single designated credit level e.g. 15 or 30 for a double unit/module. The volume and level of credit assigned to any one unit/module is determined and approved by the awarding body at the point where the course is validated. The assignment of credit to learning should be understood in the following terms:

- a. credit is allocated to a learning activity on the basis of its stated learning outcomes;
- b. a student will only be assigned credit after demonstration through assessment of the achievement of the stated learning outcomes;
- c. credit cannot be assigned if no assessment has taken place or if the assessment has not been appropriately conducted;
- d. the number of credit points assigned is independent of the mark received given that it is above the minimum level of performance. Students achieving higher standards will not be allocated more credit points. Higher marks are reflected in the grade and classification of the pass;
- e. students must meet all requirements set out in the Course Specification approved by Newham College and its awarding bodies before a qualification is awarded.

### **1.3 Titles and characteristics of courses**

9. The title will be given to an approved course by the awarding body; these cannot be changed. The title will accord with the normal expectations of the qualifications frameworks, higher education bodies, relevant professional bodies, students, and employers about the level of knowledge and skills to be expected from a person holding such a qualification.

## **2 Admissions**

10. Students registering at Newham College for courses leading to level 4 and above awards must have satisfied the published admissions criteria approved by Newham College.

11. The Admissions Policy is available and will be uploaded on the Higher Education page of the College Website following OfS registration. Appeals and complaints regarding the admissions process will be available within the same section of the website.

## **3 Matters Relating to Registration**

### **3.1 General**

12. Newham College will submit student name and contact details to the awarding body solely for the purposes of recording student details and progression information. By registering for a course leading to validated award the student agrees to the sharing of their information with the awarding body for this purpose. Newham College will not make any contact with students unless there is a specific need in relation to their studies towards their award. It is the student's responsibility to keep their personal information up to date with their institution and to notify them of any changes or errors.

### **3.2 Period of registration for Pearson Awards**

13. The period of registration will commence on the date the student registers on a course.

14. The minimum and maximum periods within which a student will be expected to complete the course of study and associated assessment, including the time period for any resit assessments is stated in Section 10 of the Course Specification.

15. The period of registration may be extended if:

- a. the student has had to resist or retake parts of their course of study
- b. the student has been unable to study or complete a year of study due to mitigating circumstances;
- c. the student has been given permission to take a study break.

16. A student's registration may be terminated if the student has:

- a. committed a serious disciplinary offence or be deemed as unfit to study;
- b. exhausted all opportunities to remedy academic failure or has made insufficient progress through their course of study at the required stage;
- c. formally notified the institution that they wish to discontinue their studies and so discontinue their course;
- d. failed to comply with their financial commitment to the College.

17. The date of termination recognised by Newham College will be taken as the date on which an Assessment Board confirms the student's course termination, or the date at which a student completes a course withdrawal form, available here whichever is the sooner. Where students are registered with the Student Loan Company (SLC) the College will withdraw them at the earliest possible time.

### **3.2.1 Interruption of studies**

18. A student may apply, or be required, to take a study break for a maximum period of twelve consecutive months under the agreed procedure for reasons of ill health or other mitigating circumstances. Only in very exceptional circumstances may this be extended as agreed by Newham College. The interruption of studies form has been prepared and will be uploaded on the HE Page of the College website following OfS registration.

### **3.2.2 Attendance and engagement requirement**

19. Students need to attend and engage in order to progress in their field of study, and a range of services are available to support students in this. The College will identify poor attendance and engagement early, and intervene early, we see this as key to helping students progress and succeed. Students need to be aware that the opportunities to draw down student finance are limited, and it is consequently of the utmost importance that they make optimal use their entitlement and be in a good position to attend College and make the best of their studies. The Attendance and Engagement Policy will be uploaded on the HE Page of the College website following OfS registration.

## **4 Assessment, progression and the award of academic credit**

20. The purpose of assessment is to measure students' learning, skills, and understanding as are laid out in the Learning Outcomes of the Course Specification. Assessment enables

students to demonstrate that they have fulfilled the objectives of their course and achieved the required standard. Assessment also helps students to reflect on their learning, and to recognise and enhance their achievements. All assessments are submitted in English.

21. Credit is given on the basis of unit/module assessment. The College awards credit to quantify learning achieved at a given level to learners who have attained the specified learning outcomes of the unit/module. The assessment method, criteria and the marking scheme are based on the unit/module's learning outcomes and reflect the appropriate credit level.

22. The forms of assessment and its weighting and timing, and the ways in which the learning outcomes are to be demonstrated through assessment, are set out in the unit/module and course handbooks approved by Newham College at the point of internal validation. Notwithstanding the accommodation for specific needs students must complete the specified assessment task or method in order to be considered for the award of credit.

23. Students are awarded credit for unit/modules passed at Levels 4 & 5. No student will be required or permitted to retake a unit/module for which they have already received credit, unless an Academic Misconduct Panel has required that unit/modules be reassessed as part of the penalty for cheating or plagiarism.

#### **4.1 Module Assessments**

24. A student who passes a unit/module shall be awarded the credit for that unit/module. The amount of credit for each unit/module shall be set out in the Course Specification and published in the course handbook.

25. The College will establish transparent procedures to ensure that no individual student or group of students are disadvantaged by the nature of the assessment task, or the marking system used.

26. At levels 4 and 5, where the College is responsible for the setting of assignments they will be subject to internal verification and ratified as part of the College internal validation process. The marking of assessments must be standardised and moderated by internal verifiers. A system of internal verification of assessments, at all academic levels is implemented at all points of student' assessment, these are described in *Section 3 Conducting Assessment Marking and Moderation* of the Assessment Guidance.

27. A student's achievement in each unit/module must be assessed so they are awarded an overall mark for each unit/module. If an assessment covers more than one unit/module, the assessment must be structured so that a separate overall mark can be awarded for each unit/module.

28. Only in exceptional circumstances will assessment take place outside the semester in which the unit/module is offered. At or near the start of each unit/module the unit/module leader must ensure that students are informed of the requirements and criteria for assessment and of the weight carried by each component of assessment.

##### **4.1.1 Module prerequisites and co-requisites**

29. The paths through which students are required to progress through the course in order to obtain an award, and the elements identified as compulsory or optional, are set out in the Course Specification, these are communicated to students through the Course Handbook and unit/module handbooks.

30. Progression through any courses may require students to complete prerequisite or co-requisite unit/modules which are set out in the Course Specification and approved during the validation process.

#### **4.1.2 Minimum requirements for pass**

31. To obtain an award students are required to **complete all parts and tasks** of the course's approved assessment and comply with all regulations, relating to their course of study.

32. If the assessment of a unit/module consists of more than one component (for example formal examination and coursework) the overall unit/module mark is calculated as a weighted average of the marks for all the components. This is based on the weightings approved through the internal course validation process. If no weighting is stipulated, each element will carry equal weighting. This will be described in both the Course Specification and the Course Handbook.

33. A component may be further divided into sub-components, each with its own weighting in the calculation of the mark for the component. This will be clearly described in the unit/module and course handbook.

34. Some components (typically assessment to demonstrate competency) may be pass/fail only, in which case the unit/module mark will be calculated from the weighted average of the marks for components which are quantitatively assessed.

35. Because the College works with more than one awarding body the minimum aggregate pass marks for awards are described individually in the Course Specifications Section 26 *Assessment Methods Summary*. These minima form part of the course validation processes and as such cannot be changed outside the *Course Development, Modification Review and Closure Process*.

36. Module/unit marks are provisional until formally ratified by an Assessment Board.

37. The relevant department which offers a unit/module will ensure that the dates for examinations and submission of coursework accurately described before a unit/module begins in the course calendar, which forms part of the course and unit/module handbook. The unit/module leader, with ratification from the Course Leader, will inform the students registered on the unit/module of any change in the deadline date for coursework submission at the earliest opportunity, and the revised date will be sent by email to all students registered on the unit/module by registry.

38. Students must:

- a. make themselves aware of these dates that are published via the College VLE, and
- b. attend examinations and submit work for assessment on the dates required.

#### **4.1.3 Attempts at assessment**

39. A student will be deemed to have made a first attempt at each component or subcomponent of assessment (coursework and examination) at the due date, whether or not the attempt has been made. A student who fails to submit a component or sub-component of assessment as required will be awarded a mark of 0% for that component or sub-component of assessment.

40. Where a student has not successfully completed the assessment for the unit/module a single resit opportunity is allowed. For a resit, the form of the re-assessment must be

equivalent to the original assessment and must be approved by the internal verifier. The form the reassessment will take must be made explicit to the student. If we have accepted a claim for mitigating circumstances the first resit opportunity will be uncapped. Students are expected to attempt the assessment at the next available opportunity. If the student does not attend the examination or submit the coursework at that time, unless they have further mitigating circumstances accepted, they will be deemed to have made an attempt at the assessment and be awarded a mark of 0% or fail.

41. Where a student has failed a unit/module twice they will have the opportunity to retake the unit/module with teaching. Students are not allowed to register more than twice on any unit/module including deferral and referral opportunities.

42. A valid mitigating circumstances claim means the Assessment Board will record the mitigation but will comply with the outcome of the mitigating circumstances panel.

#### **4.1.4 Submission of coursework**

43. Work submitted for a summative assessment component cannot be amended after submission or re-submitted. Students must submit assessments electronically unless the type of assessment makes it impossible to do so. The relevant unit/module handbook will inform students of the format(s) that they are expected to use. It is a student's responsibility to ensure that they have back-up copies of any work submitted. Failure of IT equipment will not be accepted by the Assessment Board as a reason for non-submission, incomplete submission of an assessment or (normally) as a mitigating circumstance

44. The physical or electronic receipt is the only acceptable proof of submission.

#### **4.1.5 Late submission of coursework**

45. Student requests for extensions to assessment deadlines will not be approved unless made in accordance with published guidelines. Where coursework is submitted late and there are no accepted mitigating circumstances it will be penalised in line with the following tariff:

- a. 1 day 5% mark reduction
- b. 2 days 7% mark reduction
- c. 3 days 10% mark reduction

46. Work submitted more than three working days after the published deadline date will receive a mark of 0% (zero).

47. For students on a professionally accredited course, where the professional body does not permit late submission, these regulations may not apply. The Course Specification and Course Handbook will clearly advise if this is the case.

48. Resubmission of coursework and forms of assessment such as group work, presentations are not covered by this regulation and must be completed on time, notwithstanding applications for mitigating circumstances.

## **4.2 Examination Procedures**

### **4.2.1 Preparation of examination papers**

49. The role of unit/module leader is to write the examination paper (or arrange for it to be written) to respond to the comments of the internal moderator and as appropriate the

external examiner and to check and certify the accuracy of the final paper. The unit/module coordinator will determine what aids are permitted in the examination.

50. Examination papers are prepared within the timetable set out in the assessment calendar approved by the Course Board.

51. Examination papers that contribute directly to an academic award are internally written and internally moderated before distribution to students. Draft papers for both first sit and resit examinations are prepared and sent for comment to the internal moderator at the same time. The academic member of staff responsible for the examination paper is responsible for checking and certifying the accuracy of the final version of the paper.

### 4.3 Resit provision

52. Where a student fails a unit/module, the following may apply in the first instance:

- a. resit – a second attempt of an assessment component within a unit/module, following failure at first attempt;
- b. compensation – awarding body rules will apply, please see relevant course specification;
- c. retake/repeat – a second attempt of all assessment components within a unit/module following failure at the first or resit attempt. Retake of the failed component will require the student to participate in classes to prepare them for the second attempt. This will be confirmed at the Assessment Award and Progression Board;
- d. the Assessment Board may, at its discretion, make such special arrangements as it deems appropriate in cases where it is not practicable for students to be reassessed in the same elements and by the same methods as at the first attempt. However, where a course is discontinued, provision has to be made to ensure fair assessment opportunities for all students who have been enrolled.

53. Resit provision is subject to all the following conditions:

- a. a student **may resit the failed assessment components of a unit/module only once**. Where there are mitigating circumstances, the Assessment Board has discretion to decide whether a further assessment opportunity shall be permitted, unless explicitly prohibited in the rules for the course, as approved in the validation process and Course Specification;
- b. a student who does not complete the resit by the date specified shall not progress the course, except in cases where the process for allowing mitigating circumstances has been followed;
- c. resits can only take place after the meeting of the Assessment Board;
- d. a student who successfully completes any required resits within a unit/module shall be awarded the credit for the unit/module and the result for the individual assessment component capped at the minimum pass mark for the unit/module;
- e. a student shall not be permitted to be reassessed by resit in any unit/module that has received a pass mark, or in a component that has received a mark of pass or above at UG level;

- f. the resit will normally be carried out by the same combination of written examination, coursework etc. as in the first attempt with the exception of examinations where a new examination will be provided.

### **4.3.1 Compensation for marginal failure**

54. PSRB requirements may exempt certain unit/modules from compensation – this will be articulated in the Course Specifications. A student who receives a compensated pass in a unit/module shall be awarded the credit for the unit/module. For Pearson programmes this will be denoted as ungraded 'U'.

### **4.3.2 Options for the retake of study**

55. If, having exhausted all permitted compensation, resit, and retake opportunities, and a student is still unable to pass, the Assessment Award and Progression Board will recommend a student for an exit award as where they have accumulated sufficient credit.

## **4.4 Stage requirements**

56. Each of the stages of an undergraduate course is expected to consist of a total of 120 credits. In order to complete and pass a stage of a course, a student must normally acquire the total number of credits set out in the Course Specification at the approved qualification level for the award.

57. The credit value of each unit/module contributing to a stage determines its weighting in the aggregation of credit for a stage.

58. With the approval of the Assessment Award and Progression Board students may be eligible to progress to a higher stage of a course without having completed the requisite 120 points of the lower stage.

59. They may only be allowed to do so if any of the following conditions are met:

- a. a minimum of 100 credit points at the lower level have been successfully completed including passes in all designated core unit/modules;
- b. all requirements for academic prerequisites for the higher-level unit/modules are met;
- c. the Assessment Board have approved progression following a successful application for mitigating circumstances, and results are still pending in the student's profile.

## **4.5 Grading and Classification Awards**

61. Because the College works with more than one awarding body the grading and classification boundaries are described in the Course Specification, this is taken verbatim from the awarding body specification, these are communicated to students through the Course Handbook.

### **4.5.1 Provision for exit awards**

62. A student who has withdrawn from a course or has exhausted all assessment attempts will automatically be considered for an exit award where sufficient credit has been accrued.

## **5 Recognition of prior learning**

63. Please see RPL Policy for complete details.

## **6 Academic Misconduct**

64. Academic misconduct is defined as any improper activity or behaviour by a student which may give that student, or another student, an unpermitted academic advantage in a summative assessment. Any penalties arising from academic misconduct will be levied in line with the AMBeR Tariff. The Academic Misconduct Policy will be uploaded on the HE Page of the College Website following OfS registration.

## **7 Mitigating Circumstances**

65. Newham College recognises that students may suffer from a sudden illness, or other serious and unforeseen event or set of circumstances, which adversely affects their ability to complete an assessment, or the results they obtain for an assessment. In such cases the mitigating circumstances procedures will be applied.

66. A student who is prevented from attending or completing a formal assessment component or who feels that their performance would be (or has been) seriously impaired by mitigating circumstances, may submit a mitigating circumstances request. Further information will be available on the HE Page of the College website following OfS registration.

67. If a student fails, without good cause, to provide the responsible body with information about mitigating circumstances within the timescales specified, the responsible body has authority to reject the request on those grounds.

## **8 Academic Appeals**

### **8.1 Grounds for appeal**

68. Full details and supporting documentation on how to appeal a decision of the deliberative committee or boards will be available on the HE Page of the College website following OfS registration.

## **9 Transcripts of Results, Certification and Diploma Supplements**

### **9.1 Transcript of Results**

69. The Transcript of Results is produced by the Awarding Body responsible for the award. It provides a comprehensible verifiable record of a student's learning. If a student has completed only a part of a course of study, without fulfilling the full requirements for an award, a transcript is issued.

## **9.2 Diploma Supplement**

70. The Diploma Supplement is issued to a student solely on the successful completion of a full credit bearing qualification.

71. The diploma supplement is produced by the Awarding Body responsible for the award and provides students who have completed a full validated award with a formal, verifiable, and comprehensive record of learning and achievement.

## **9.3 Certificates**

72. The Awarding Body issues a certificate for each conferred award. Students awarded any qualification, will be issued with a certificate in respect of that qualification in the name held in formal records at the point when the qualification is conferred. A certificate will not be amended or reissued in a different name if a change of name is notified after the date the qualification is conferred, except in the case of an error by Newham College in recording personal details, or if a valid request is made under the Gender Recognition Act 2004. A duplicate certificate will be issued in the same name as the original certificate (unless amended as above), even if a change of name may subsequently have been notified.

## 10 Glossary

**Continuation** - The continuation by a student at the same stage of a course. Such continuation is the subject of regulations of the College and must be confirmed at a meeting of the Assessment Board.

**Exit award** - A College award than one for which the student is registered. Such an award may be conferred if a student completes part of, but not all, of the requirements of the course for which he or she is registered.

**Learning outcome** - What a learner is expected to know, understand and be able to demonstrate after completing a defined element of study. Learning outcomes features within the Course Specification must align with **unit/module descriptors**.

**Unit/Module** - A self-contained, formally structured, credit-bearing unit of study with explicit learning outcomes. (Some institutions use the word 'course' to refer to individual unit/modules.)

**Module Handbook** - A document that defines key characteristics of a unit/module, and includes learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, and assessment schemes.

**Pre-requisite unit/module** - A pre-requisite unit/module is one that must be successfully completed before progressing to another designated unit/module or stage within a course of study.

**Course** - A schedule of academic study and assessment which leads to a validated higher education award.

**Course Specification/Definitive Record** - A document that defines key characteristics of an award, including learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, assessment schemes, and how individual unit/modules relate to qualification levels and contribute to the classification of awards.

**Progression** - The advancement (or progress) by a student from one stage of a course to an adjacent higher stage. Such progression is the subject of regulations of the University and must be confirmed at a meeting of the Assessment Board.

**Qualification level** - One of a series of defined points in the Higher Education Qualification Framework. They are numbered in ascending order. Qualifications at the same qualification level share characteristics and require similar achievement.

**Course termination** – the termination of a student's study due to withdrawal or failure to make adequate progress throughout the course.

**Quality Assurance Agency (QAA)** - The UK government-appointed agency that safeguards the quality and standard of the higher education awards offered by UK universities. Newham College complies with the Quality Code defined by the QAA and is subject to its scrutiny.

**Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)** - Assessment of prior learning that has occurred in any of a range of contexts including school, college, and university, and/or through life and work experiences. Once recognised through this process, prior learning can be used to gain credit or exemption for qualifications and/or personal and career development. RPL includes Accreditation of Prior Certificated Learning (APCL), Accreditation of Prior Experiential Learning (APEL) and Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL).

**Stage** - A stage of an undergraduate course is a collection of study consisting of a total of 120 credits. In full-time courses of study, a stage is equivalent to one year of study. Stages are usually commensurate with a credit level.

**Validation** - The formal process whereby a new course of study is critically appraised by the Awarding Body, in order to establish that it meets the requirements for approval.